

NHL-PRN-47



Re: Intent to injure ↵
Colin Campbell to: mikemedia1

03/13/2010 06:43 PM

Yes but if we said shoulder checks were "in any manner" we shit the bed on about 10 others this year alone where shoulder checks injured people. Let's face it Mike...we sell rivalries, we sell and promote hate and when a player hits another player legally we can't drill him because [Redacted] We need a reason...jumped and targeted the head, late, unsuspecting, elbow...none of the above. [Redacted] knows the rules. Thanks for explaining on NESN, takes balls where they want blood and a lynching in Boston. [Redacted]

[Redacted]

----- Original Message -----
From: mikemedia1
Sent: 03/13/2010 11:24 PM GMT
To: Colin Campbell
Subject: Re: Intent to injure

Trying to stem the tide. It says "in any manner" in the book.

-----Original Message-----
From: CCampbell@nhl.com
To: mikemedia1
Subject: Re: Intent to injure
Sent: Mar 13, 2010 6:08 PM

Wish it was or I would have used it to get [Redacted] It is attached to a spear, cross check, charge, spear, knee, etc. You need an act to attach to the intent.(Unfortunately)

----- Original Message -----
From: mikemedia1
Sent: 03/13/2010 11:00 PM GMT
To: Colin Campbell
Subject: Intent to injure

Is intent to injure a stand alone call?
Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry